

**California Gonorrhea Surveillance System (CGSS)  
 Quarterly Summary Data Tables for Cases Diagnosed from January 1 – December 31, 2008  
 and Graphs of Trends from 2007-08 for Selected Risk Factors and Subpopulations**

In response to changing trends in gonorrhea rates, the State of California Sexually Transmitted Disease Control Branch initiated the California Gonorrhea Surveillance System to collect risk factor and clinical data on cases, beyond what is routinely available through case morbidity reports. This data collection is based on a 10% random sample of the State's reported gonorrhea cases, with roughly half of the State's local health jurisdictions (LHJs) choosing to over-sample and interview all of their cases. Data collection includes provider-reported clinical variables (symptom status, treatment, etc.) and case-reported variables (detailed demographics, behavioral risk factors). Most analyses are presented with stratification by region and sexual orientation. In tables where both "N" and "n" are provided, "N" represents the number of cases who answered each question, while "n" represents the number of respondents who answered affirmatively. To account for the sampling scheme and for variable response rates by LHJ, data are weighted prior to analysis. Weighted count data are rounded to integers. Due to missing data, San Francisco was excluded from all graphs showing data points for the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 2008.

**INTRODUCTION AND TABLE OF CONTENTS** ..... Page 1

**SEXUAL ORIENTATION**

Table 1 – Sexual Orientation, Weighted and Unweighted Case Counts by Region ..... Page 2  
 Definitions of Regions ..... Page 2

**NUMBER OF SEX PARTNERS**

Table 2 – Number of Sexual Partners (Categorical) in the 12 Months Preceding Diagnosis ..... Page 3

**TREATMENT DATA**

Table 3 – Drug Class Received at Initial Treatment..... Page 4  
 Figure 1 – Proportion of Cases Statewide that Received a non-Recommended Gonorrhea  
 Treatment or no Treatment, by Setting of Diagnosis..... Page 4

**RECREATIONAL DRUG USE**

Table 4 – Meth Use in the 12 Months Preceding Diagnosis ..... Page 5  
 Figure 2 – Meth Use in the 12 Months Preceding Diagnosis through Q4 2008 ..... Page 5  
 Table 5 – Sex Partner Meth Use in the 12 Months Preceding Diagnosis ..... Page 6  
 Figure 3 – Sex Partner Meth Use in the 12 Months Preceding Diagnosis ..... Page 6  
 Table 6 – Popper (Amyl Nitrate) Use in the 12 Months Preceding Diagnosis ..... Page 6

**VENUES USED TO MEET NEW OR ANONYMOUS SEX PARTNERS**

Table 7 – Internet ..... Page 7  
 Table 8 – Bathhouses/Spas/Sex Clubs ..... Page 7  
 Table 9 – Private Parties ..... Page 7

**INCARCERATION**

Table 10 – Case Incarcerated in the 12 Months Preceding Diagnosis ..... Page 8  
 Figure 4 – Incarceration at Any Time During the 12 Months Preceding Diagnosis, Female Cases  
 and Sex Partners of Female Cases..... Page 8  
 Table 11 – Sex Partner Incarcerated in the 12 Months Preceding Case's Diagnosis ..... Page 8

**CLINICAL FACTORS**

Table 12 – Symptomatic Infection..... Page 9  
 Figure 5 – Specimen Source Among MSM Cases, by Symptom Status and Setting Type ..... Page 9  
 Table 13 – Prior Gonorrhea Diagnosis in the Preceding 12 Months ..... Page 9  
 Table 14 – Percent of Cases That Know Their HIV Status..... Page 10  
 Table 15 – HIV Status – % HIV Positive ..... Page 10

**Weighted and Unweighted Totals by Region and Gender of Sex Partners  
California Gonorrhea Surveillance System (CGSS), January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008**

**TABLE 1 – SEXUAL ORIENTATION, WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED TOTALS BY REGION**

REGION	Female (All)		MSM		Male Heterosexual		Weighted % <sup>2</sup>	Weighted N <sup>2</sup>	Unweighted N <sup>2</sup>
	n	%	n	%	n	%			
Northern CA	131	59.9%	22	10.1%	65	30.0%	10.5%	232.9	244
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	182	53.5%	57	16.7%	101	29.8%	15.9%	351.4	371
San Francisco	25	13.0%	145	75.9%	21	11.0%	8.8%	195.9	721
Central CA	64	49.4%	15	11.9%	50	38.6%	5.9%	130.6	254
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	272	47.8%	137	24.1%	160	28.1%	25.9%	573.7	375
Los Angeles	335	47.5%	186	26.4%	184	26.0%	33.0%	731.4	251
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1009</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2216</b>	<b>2216</b>

**Regions are defined as follows:**

**Northern California** – Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Colusa, Del Norte, El Dorado, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo, Yuba

**Bay Area (excluding San Francisco)** – Alameda, Berkeley, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, Sonoma

**San Francisco County**

**Central California** – Fresno, Inyo, Kings, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Mono, Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Stanislaus, Tulare, Tuolumne

**Southern California (excluding Los Angeles)** – Imperial, Kern, Long Beach, Orange, Pasadena, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura

**Los Angeles County**

<sup>1</sup> Bay Area region excludes San Francisco; Southern CA region excludes Los Angeles

<sup>2</sup> N includes individuals with unknown gender of sex partners; Unknowns excluded from percent calculations and further analyses  
Source: CA Department of Public Health, STD Control Branch; 04JUN2009

## California Gonorrhea Surveillance System (CGSS), January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008, Weighted Data

TABLE 2 - NUMBER OF SEXUAL PARTNERS (CATEGORICAL) IN THE 12 MONTHS PRIOR TO GC INFECTION <sup>2</sup>


Region	# Partners	Female (All)		MSM		Male Heterosexual		Total
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
Northern CA	1 Partner	60	50.0%	7	35.5%	13	21.1%	39.6%
	2 Partners	34	28.5%	5	26.9%	18	29.1%	28.5%
	3-4 Partners	22	18.0%	2	11.0%	23	35.7%	22.9%
	5-9 Partners	4	3.2%	3	15.5%	6	9.6%	6.4%
	10+ Partners	0	0.2%	2	11.0%	3	4.4%	2.6%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	1 Partner	58	32.2%	4	6.2%	19	19.0%	23.9%
	2 Partners	50	27.5%	3	5.2%	11	11.3%	19.0%
	3-4 Partners	59	32.2%	12	20.8%	36	36.3%	31.5%
	5-9 Partners	12	6.9%	16	27.8%	12	12.3%	12.0%
	10+ Partners	2	1.2%	23	40.0%	21	21.0%	13.5%
San Francisco	1 Partner	14	54.5%	11	7.8%	3	13.3%	14.5%
	2 Partners	7	28.3%	35	24.4%	9	41.9%	26.8%
	3-4 Partners	2	8.4%	30	20.9%	8	40.2%	21.4%
	5-9 Partners	2	7.3%	37	25.6%	1	3.7%	20.8%
	10+ Partners	0	1.4%	31	21.3%	0	0.8%	16.5%
Central CA	1 Partner	36	56.8%	2	11.8%	9	17.7%	36.3%
	2 Partners	10	16.1%	5	31.8%	14	27.7%	22.5%
	3-4 Partners	8	13.4%	4	25.4%	16	32.5%	22.2%
	5-9 Partners	5	8.4%	1	6.6%	5	10.9%	9.2%
	10+ Partners	3	5.3%	4	24.4%	6	11.2%	9.8%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	1 Partner	133	49.3%	10	7.3%	34	21.5%	31.4%
	2 Partners	90	33.4%	13	9.3%	51	32.4%	27.3%
	3-4 Partners	28	10.4%	38	28.3%	45	28.6%	19.8%
	5-9 Partners	11	4.2%	23	17.4%	20	13.0%	9.8%
	10+ Partners	7	2.6%	51	37.7%	7	4.5%	11.6%
Los Angeles	1 Partner	111	33.0%	9	4.8%	41	22.2%	22.8%
	2 Partners	108	32.2%	12	6.3%	52	28.6%	24.5%
	3-4 Partners	73	21.7%	52	28.6%	55	30.2%	25.7%
	5-9 Partners	32	9.6%	44	23.8%	15	7.9%	12.9%
	10+ Partners	12	3.5%	67	36.5%	20	11.1%	14.1%
<b>State Totals</b>	1 Partner	411	41.4%	42	7.6%	118	20.6%	26.9%
	2 Partners	299	30.1%	73	13.1%	155	27.1%	24.8%
	3-4 Partners	192	19.3%	139	25.0%	183	32.0%	24.2%
	5-9 Partners	67	6.7%	124	22.4%	59	10.4%	11.8%
	10+ Partners	25	2.5%	177	31.9%	57	9.9%	12.2%

<sup>1</sup> Bay Area region excludes San Francisco; Southern CA region excludes Los Angeles<sup>2</sup> Excludes individuals who reported no sexual partners in the 12 months prior to their infection

## California Gonorrhea Surveillance System (CGSS), January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008, Weighted Data

TABLE 3 - DRUG CLASS RECEIVED AT INITIAL TREATMENT


Region	Drug Class	Female (All)		MSM		Male Heterosexual		Total
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
Northern CA	Recommended Tx	121.7	89.9%	27.7	100.0%	67.8	99.3%	93.9%
	Fluoroquinolone alone	7.5	5.5%	0.0	0.0%	0.2	0.3%	3.3%
	Other Non-Recommended Tx	6.2	4.5%	0.0	0.0%	0.3	0.5%	2.8%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	Recommended Tx	184.1	94.5%	59.4	93.6%	91.3	90.3%	93.1%
	Fluoroquinolone alone	9.0	4.6%	0.0	0.0%	9.7	9.6%	5.2%
	Other Non-Recommended Tx	1.8	0.9%	4.1	6.4%	0.1	0.1%	1.7%
San Francisco	Recommended Tx	22.7	94.4%	517.5	95.1%	15.1	99.8%	95.2%
	Other Non-Recommended Tx	1.4	5.6%	26.8	4.9%	0.0	0.2%	4.8%
Central CA	Recommended Tx	62.8	94.5%	13.1	98.9%	49.1	92.5%	94.1%
	Fluoroquinolone alone	3.1	4.7%	0.1	1.1%	3.5	6.6%	5.1%
	Other Non-Recommended Tx	0.5	0.8%	0.0	0.0%	0.5	0.9%	0.8%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	Recommended Tx	244.3	94.6%	128.9	91.2%	145.0	88.3%	91.9%
	Fluoroquinolone alone	9.9	3.8%	7.7	5.4%	11.4	6.9%	5.1%
	Other Non-Recommended Tx	4.2	1.6%	4.7	3.4%	7.8	4.8%	3.0%
Los Angeles	Recommended Tx	249.1	90.1%	91.6	90.2%	150.0	88.3%	89.6%
	Fluoroquinolone alone	6.7	2.4%	9.9	9.8%	9.7	5.7%	4.8%
	Other Non-Recommended Tx	20.5	7.4%	0.0	0.0%	10.1	5.9%	5.6%
<b>State Totals</b>	Recommended Tx	884.7	92.6%	838.3	94.0%	518.3	90.7%	92.7%
	Fluoroquinolone alone	36.2	3.8%	17.7	3.1%	34.4	6.1%	3.7%
	Other Non-Recommended Tx	34.5	3.6%	35.6	4.3%	18.9	3.3%	3.7%

FIGURE 1 – PROPORTION OF CASES STATEWIDE<sup>2</sup> THAT RECEIVED A NON-RECOMMENDED TREATMENT OR NO TREATMENT, BY SETTING OF DIAGNOSIS, 1/1/07 – 12/31/08<sup>1</sup> Bay Area region excludes San Francisco; Southern CA region excludes Los Angeles<sup>2</sup> San Francisco data excluded due to different classification of Setting of Diagnosis<sup>3</sup> "Other" setting includes HIV Clinics, Hospital Inpatient, Military/VA, School-Based Clinics, and Other

**Risk Factors by Region and Gender of Sex Partners - DRUG USE**  
**California Gonorrhea Surveillance System (CGSS), January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008**  
**Analyses weighted for sampling design [n's rounded to integers]**

**TABLE 4 - METH USE PAST 12 MONTHS**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	111	14	12.4%	14	0	0.0%	59	14	23.2%	14.9%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	164	14	8.4%	55	8	14.4%	94	3	2.8%	7.8%
San Francisco	24	0	0.4%	127	16	12.2%	14	0	1.8%	9.6%
Central CA	62	8	12.8%	15	1	5.1%	50	10	20.6%	15.0%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	264	11	4.1%	130	6	4.5%	146	21	14.7%	7.1%
Los Angeles	326	6	1.8%	181	9	4.8%	181	0	0.0%	2.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>



\* San Francisco excluded from graph due to missing Q4 08 data


<sup>1</sup> Bay Area region excludes San Francisco; Southern CA region excludes Los Angeles

Source: CA Department of Public Health, STD Control Branch; 04JUN2009

**Risk Factors by Region and Gender of Sex Partners - DRUG USE**  
**California Gonorrhea Surveillance System (CGSS), January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008**  
**Analyses weighted for sampling design [n's rounded to integers]**

**TABLE 5 - SEX PARTNER METH USE**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	90	18	20.4%	18	1	5.2%	46	15	31.9%	22.1%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	166	11	6.4%	40	13	31.3%	91	0	0.5%	8.0%
San Francisco <sup>3</sup>	0	.	.	0	.	.	0	.	.	.
Central CA	55	14	24.7%	14	3	24.1%	39	11	29.2%	26.2%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	235	19	8.1%	93	17	18.2%	114	15	13.5%	11.6%
Los Angeles	294	12	4.0%	125	17	14.0%	143	6	4.1%	6.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>840</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>432</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>



**TABLE 6 - POPPER (AMYL NITRATE) USE**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	111	0	0.0%	14	0	0.0%	52	0	0.3%	0.1%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	158	4	2.8%	55	2	3.4%	92	0	0.0%	2.1%
San Francisco	24	0	0.0%	129	29	22.6%	14	0	0.6%	17.5%
Central CA	61	2	2.8%	15	0	1.3%	50	0	0.0%	1.5%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	264	0	0.0%	130	21	16.1%	146	3	1.7%	4.3%
Los Angeles	323	3	0.9%	181	29	16.1%	181	0	0.0%	4.7%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>941</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>523</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>535</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Bay Area region excludes San Francisco; Southern CA region excludes Los Angeles

<sup>3</sup> San Francisco data not available

Source: CA Department of Public Health, STD Control Branch; 04JUN2009

**Risk Factors by Region and Gender of Sex Partners - VENUES TO MEET NEW OR ANONYMOUS SEX PARTNERS**  
**California Gonorrhea Surveillance System (CGSS), January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008**  
**Analyses weighted for sampling design [n's rounded to integers]**

**TABLE 7 - INTERNET <sup>4</sup>**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	52	0	0.4%	17	3	17.5%	43	1	1.3%	3.3%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	116	7	5.9%	50	25	50.3%	72	7	10.3%	16.6%
San Francisco <sup>3</sup>	24	0	0.7%	132	48	36.5%	20	0	1.3%	27.6%
Central CA	25	0	1.8%	14	4	31.2%	38	4	11.5%	11.8%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	137	5	3.9%	125	61	48.6%	109	6	5.7%	19.5%
Los Angeles	230	3	1.3%	172	79	45.8%	146	3	2.0%	15.4%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>584</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>43.2%</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>

**TABLE 8 - BATHS/SPAS/SEX CLUBS <sup>4</sup>**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	52	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%	0.0%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	116	0	0.0%	50	12	23.8%	72	0	0.0%	5.0%
San Francisco <sup>3</sup>	0	.	.	0	.	.	0	.	.	.
Central CA	25	0	0.0%	14	0	2.0%	38	2	4.0%	2.3%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	137	3	2.5%	125	12	9.6%	109	0	0.0%	4.2%
Los Angeles	230	0	0.0%	172	9	5.1%	146	0	0.0%	1.6%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>

**TABLE 9 - PRIVATE PARTIES <sup>4</sup>**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	52	15	29.2%	17	3	19.4%	43	15	34.9%	29.9%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	116	4	3.6%	50	5	9.7%	72	14	19.2%	9.6%
San Francisco <sup>3</sup>	0	.	.	0	.	.	0	.	.	.
Central CA	25	8	33.0%	14	4	28.6%	38	16	40.6%	36.0%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	137	12	9.0%	125	30	23.9%	109	33	30.3%	20.3%
Los Angeles	230	12	5.1%	172	20	11.9%	146	23	16.0%	10.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Bay Area region excludes San Francisco; Southern CA region excludes Los Angeles


<sup>3</sup> Data not available

<sup>4</sup> Calculations for venues are restricted to individuals reporting new or anonymous sex partners

**Risk Factors by Region and Gender of Sex Partners - INCARCERATION**  
**California Gonorrhea Surveillance System (CGSS), January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008**  
**Analyses weighted for sampling design [n's rounded to integers]**

**TABLE 10 - CASE INCARCERATED PAST 12 MONTHS**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	112	14	12.5%	20	2	11.0%	61	14	22.9%	15.6%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	177	27	15.1%	53	4	7.4%	100	35	35.1%	19.9%
San Francisco	21	2	7.4%	99	0	0.0%	13	0	0.0%	1.2%
Central CA	62	9	14.1%	15	2	15.5%	50	13	26.4%	19.1%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	266	22	8.1%	135	6	4.5%	151	37	24.7%	11.8%
Los Angeles	329	15	4.4%	186	3	1.6%	181	32	17.7%	7.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>967</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>509</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>555</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>23.7%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>



**TABLE 11 - SEX PARTNER INCARCERATED**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	100	23	22.6%	16	2	14.1%	47	1	3.1%	16.2%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	175	47	27.1%	50	7	14.7%	93	6	6.6%	19.2%
San Francisco	19	5	28.0%	70	3	4.5%	13	0	0.0%	8.4%
Central CA	57	23	39.6%	14	0	2.1%	42	7	17.5%	26.8%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	252	45	17.7%	116	4	3.1%	128	7	5.2%	11.1%
Los Angeles	315	67	21.3%	160	3	1.8%	152	3	1.9%	11.6%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>917</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>14.0%</b>

\* San Francisco excluded from graph due to missing Q4 08 data

<sup>1</sup> Bay Area region excludes San Francisco; Southern CA region excludes Los Angeles


Source: CA Department of Public Health, STD Control Branch; 04JUN2009



**Risk Factors by Region and Gender of Sex Partners - CLINICAL**  
**California Gonorrhea Surveillance System (CGSS), January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008**  
**Analyses weighted for sampling design [n's rounded to integers]**

**TABLE 12 - SYMPTOMATIC INFECTION**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	110	65	58.7%	18	16	87.6%	63	57	89.8%	71.7%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	148	77	52.1%	51	43	84.3%	85	76	88.8%	68.9%
San Francisco	25	8	33.1%	142	46	32.4%	21	14	68.0%	36.5%
Central CA	53	33	62.3%	13	11	85.2%	46	35	75.8%	70.5%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	238	104	43.8%	118	104	88.0%	136	115	84.5%	65.6%
Los Angeles	286	125	43.9%	99	67	67.6%	152	131	86.5%	60.3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>859</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>441</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>65.0%</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>85.0%</b>	<b>62.5%</b>



**TABLE 13 - PRIOR GONORRHEA INFECTION PAST 12 MONTHS**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	117	3	2.4%	18	2	13.0%	58	6	9.7%	5.6%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	170	15	9.0%	47	7	14.2%	88	0	0.2%	7.3%
San Francisco <sup>3</sup>	0	.	.	0	.	.	0	.	.	.
Central CA	63	3	5.0%	15	2	10.4%	45	0	1.0%	4.2%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	262	5	2.1%	134	25	18.5%	153	3	1.7%	6.0%
Los Angeles	318	6	1.8%	175	35	20.0%	178	3	1.6%	6.5%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>929</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Bay Area region excludes San Francisco; Southern CA region excludes Los Angeles

<sup>3</sup> Data not available

**Risk Factors by Region and Gender of Sex Partners - CLINICAL**  
**California Gonorrhea Surveillance System (CGSS), January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008**  
**Analyses weighted for sampling design [n's rounded to integers]**

**TABLE 14 - PERCENT THAT KNOW THEIR HIV STATUS**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	116	64	55.1%	20	15	73.7%	60	35	57.4%	57.7%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	180	152	84.7%	54	49	90.4%	96	82	85.3%	85.8%
San Francisco	22	21	95.2%	132	130	99.0%	12	10	82.5%	97.3%
Central CA	63	32	50.2%	15	13	87.0%	49	19	38.9%	50.3%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	272	193	70.9%	137	118	85.6%	157	111	70.6%	74.4%
Los Angeles	335	283	84.3%	186	169	90.6%	184	146	79.4%	84.7%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>988</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>75.3%</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>90.6%</b>	<b>557</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>78.4%</b>

**TABLE 15 - HIV STATUS (% HIV POSITIVE)**

REGION	Female (All)			MSM			Male Heterosexual			OVERALL %
	N	n	%	N	n	%	N	n	%	
Northern CA	64	4	6.5%	15	2	14.1%	35	0	0.0%	5.5%
Bay Area <sup>1</sup>	152	0	0.0%	49	9	18.2%	82	0	0.1%	3.2%
San Francisco	21	0	0.0%	130	48	36.7%	10	0	0.0%	29.8%
Central CA	32	0	0.0%	13	2	14.2%	19	0	0.0%	2.9%
Southern CA <sup>1</sup>	193	0	0.0%	118	36	30.3%	111	0	0.0%	8.5%
Los Angeles	283	3	1.0%	169	35	20.7%	146	0	0.0%	6.3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Bay Area region excludes San Francisco; Southern CA region excludes Los Angeles  
Source: CA Department of Public Health, STD Control Branch; 04JUN2009